Flood risk communication to reduce vehicle-related flood fatalities

Mel Taylor1, Katharine Haynes2, Arifa Ahmed1, Lisa Sato1, Rachel Begg1, Ian Faulks3, Julia Irwin1

1 Department of Psychology, Macquarie University, NSW. 2 Department of Geography and Planning, Macquarie University, NSW. 3 Centre for Accident Research and Road Safety, Queensland University of Technology, QLD.

Aim: To develop a better understanding of the motivations, beliefs, decision-making processes, and information needs of at-risk groups for flood fatalities.

Goal: To use this knowledge to improve flood risk communication and education that will lead to a reduction in vehicle-related flood rescues and human fatalities.

PROJECT INTRODUCTION

This project commenced in July 2017 and comprises two phases:

1. Understanding behaviour in and around floodwater, and
2. Evaluating and adapting flood risk communication materials.

Based on the findings of an earlier BNHCRC project An analysis of building losses and human fatalities from natural disasters we are focusing on the two behaviours most frequently associated with flood fatalities:

1. Driving into floodwater in a motor vehicle, and
2. Recreating in floodwater.

The focus will be on the at-risk groups identified from this earlier research1 including young male drivers, and children and young adults who play in floodwater.

Year 1 Activities

• Understanding propensity to drive into water on the road (novice drivers, traffic offenders, emergency services personnel, flood experts)
• Encountering floodwater at work (emergency services personnel)
• National survey – encountering floodwater (general population)
• Initial planning for dynamic group decision making research - driving into floodwater and playing in floodwater (young males, children, families)
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EMERGENCY SERVICES PERSONNEL ENCOUNTERING FLOODWATER AT WORK

In the first six months of the project we undertook initial survey research with 230 personnel from three NSW-based emergency services agencies about their experiences of driving into, and turning around from, floodwater when at work.

Key findings

75% of respondents reported having driven into floodwater in their vehicles whilst working.

Those who entered floodwater were more likely to report a willingness to take risks with their personal safety.

Water flow and depth were the main determinants of decisions to drive into floodwater (cf turning around).

Driving and water-based training was linked to lower risk taking.

Following these preliminary findings we have expanded this research across a number of SES jurisdictions.

EMERGENCY SERVICES PERSONNEL ENCOUNTERING FLOODWATER AT WORK

WATER ON THE ROAD – PUBLIC DEFINITION OF FLOODWATER AND PROPENSITY TO DRIVE THROUGH IT

The main goal of this project is to improve flood risk communication. To understand how to communicate better, we first need to understand what the public perceives to be a ‘flooded road’.

If imagery in public risk communication always presents a fast flowing, clearly dangerous body of water, how do we expect drivers to behave when faced with more benign-looking water on the road? The official message is still the same – ‘if it’s flooded, forget it’.

In this research, through testing with 250+ people, we have reduced an initial set of 44 photographs of water on the road to a group of four images that discriminate well between participants, when asked whether they would consider driving through the water.

We are using this image set within our surveys to provide a context-relevant risk propensity measure.

In addition, we have been asking participants whether they consider the road ‘flooded’. This research is enabling us to identify subtleties in how the public identifies, or discounts, this risk, providing useful insights for risk communication and engagement.