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WHAT DO LAND MANAGERS WANT TO KNOW?

At the start of our project:

1) What does it cost to implement fuel reduction burns (FRB) and how effective are they?
   - Fuel reduction outcomes

2) What is the cost to environmental values for each FRB?
   - Carbon outcomes
   - Water outcomes
   - Vegetation outcomes

3) How does the size of each FRB affect these environmental values?

More recently:

4) What are the risks associated with/without FRB?

5) What are the environmental costs of repeated FRB?
RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

1) Review of current modelling frameworks
2) Development of a sampling protocol to quantify key variables related to FRB
3) Design and test a statistical robust sampling scheme for use at range of spatial scales
4) **Application of sampling schemes and field techniques**
5) Data analysis and synthesis to assess the effects of size of FRB
6) **Developing spatially accurate measures of forest stand and catchment-scale water balances**
7) Developing routine and reliable measures of fire intensity/severity on soil carbon
ARE WE ACHIEVING OUR RESEARCH OBJECTIVES?

1) Captured variability at a range of scales

2) Field sampling protocols and schemes that are (1) statistically robust and repeatable and (2) can be used by a range of stakeholders

3) Our analytical and modelling efforts using field data are providing new insights into the impact of fire on environmental values

The integration of environmental values (e.g. maintenance of high water yield and quality, reduction of CO\textsubscript{2} emissions and conservation of biodiversity) into fire management operations is possible
TEACHING AND TRAINING
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Angela Gormley – Effects of surface litter on fuels and fire behaviour in the Sydney Basin. MPhil commenced in January 2016, University of Sydney.
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AREAS OF STUDY

9 sites → 27 burn units → 54 plots

4 sites → 12 burn units → 24 plots

9 sites → 27 burn units → 108 plots

Total = 186 sampling plots
MEASUREMENT OF CARBON POOLS

- Overstorey biomass, canopy fuel
- Understorey biomass (trees and shrubs), elevated fuel
- Ground cover biomass, Near-surface fuel
- Litter biomass, surface fuel
- Coarse woody debris, surface fuel
- Soil carbon

Current field sampling protocol ("Sampling Schema") can be used as a training tool for staff
APPLICATION OF DIGITAL PHOTOGRAPHY
(UNDERSTOREY LEAF AREA + OVERSTOREY LEAF AREA)

(Macfarlane et al. 2007; Fuentes et al. 2008; Macfarlane and Ogden 2012)
CALCULATING NUMBER OF SAMPLING PLOTS REQUIRED FOR ESTIMATION OF CARBON STOCK

What information is needed:

What is the size of the area of interest?
What is the size of individual sampling plots?
What is the desired level of precision? (10% in Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry)

What assumptions are made:

The project area is stratified into one or more strata.
Approximate area of each stratum is known.
Approximate value of the variance of biomass stocks in each stratum is known (e.g. from a preliminary sample, existing data related to the project area, or existing data related to a similar area).
MODELLING GREEN BIOMASS USING SATELLITE DATA

Measurement of trees size, allometric relationships \( \rightarrow \) Canopy Foliage biomass

Measurement of understorey stem diameter + allometric relationships \( \rightarrow \) Understorey biomass

Measurement of ground cover biomass (weighing in the lab)

Plot-level green biomass (ton/ha)

\[ Biomass_{green} = 0.679 + \frac{4.35 \times NDVI}{1 - NDVI} \]

\[ r_{obs,pred} = 0.81 \]

Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) was extracted for each plot, Landsat 8, cloudfree
Mapping green biomass from NDVI:

\[
\text{Biomass}_{\text{green}} = 0.679 + \frac{4.35 \times \text{NDVI}}{1 - \text{NDVI}}
\]
STRATIFICATION BASED ON LANDSAT NDVI
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stratum</th>
<th>Area (ha)</th>
<th>Required Number of plots</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stratum 1</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stratum 2</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stratum 3</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No stratification</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Stratified</td>
<td>Not Stratified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Googong</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tidbinbilla</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poddy</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrol</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravel</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oliver</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IMPACT OF FRB ON WATER AVAILABILITY
TREE WATER USE – MEASUREMENTS AND MODELS

Individual Tree water use ($Q_{\text{tree}}$, litre day$^{-1}$)

Plot area (ha)

+$+$

Plot-scale tree water use ($Q_{\text{plot}}$, litre ha$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$)
MODELLING PLOT-LEVEL TREE WATER USE

Plot-level Leaf area index (LAI) is directly related to plot-level tree water use, (more productivity $\rightarrow$ more water use)

\[ \text{LAI} = 0.04 e^{4.88 \text{NDVI}} \]
\[ (p < 0.05, r^2 = 0.69) \]

\[ Q_{\text{plot}} = 11107 \text{ LAI} - 3410 \]
\[ (p < 0.05, r^2 = 0.30) \]
MAPPING CHANGES IN PLOT-LEVEL TREE WATER USE

\[ dQ = Q_{\text{pre burn}} - Q_{\text{post burn}} \]
BURN SEVERITY AND IMPACT ON WATER AVAILABILITY

**NBR** = Normalized Burn Ratio

\[ d\text{NBR} = NBR_{\text{pre burn}} - NBR_{\text{post burn}} \]

- Low to moderate severity: **150**
- Moderate-high severity: **230**
- High severity: **400**
SUMMARY

• Increasing sample plot area reduces variation among plots → small sample size at the same precision level.

• More variance in carbon stocks, more sample plots needed for a low uncertainty. Stratification reduced variability between plots → fewer samples required.

• A 1 unit reduction in forest LAI will result in 11,100 L ha\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) reduction in forest water use (\sim 400\,\text{mm\ year}^{-1}).

• Understorey LAI is commonly neglected in models of forest LAI while it is more affected by a FRB than the overstorey LAI.

• Identification of hydrologically sensitive areas in accordance with certain management objectives.
THE NEXT 12 MONTHS

1) Meeting sampling targets for 2016-17
2) Fieldwork in northern NSW and other states to validate model development
3) Calibration and testing of fuel, vegetation, water and carbon models

Future directions
1) The impact of risk-based FRB strategies on environmental values (e.g. high frequency FRB in areas significant at regional scales)
2) Incorporation of other sources of data into models (e.g. historical, from other BNH CRC projects, agency data)
3) End User input???