

SCIENTIFIC DIVERSITY, SCIENTIFIC UNCERTAINTY AND BUSHFIRE AND FLOOD RISK MITIGATION

Dr Jessica Weir

Institute for Culture and Society, University of Western Sydney, NSW









PROJECT TEAM - RESEARCHERS

Cluster lead researcher

Prof Steve Dovers, Fenner School of Environment and Society, ANU

Project researchers

Principal Investigator, to be appointed
Dr Christine Hansen, University of Gothenburg, Sweden
Assoc Prof Tara McGee, University of Alberta, Canada
Assoc Prof Michael Eburn, Law School and Fenner, ANU
Prof Stephen Dovers, Fenner, ANU
Prof John Handmer, RMIT University

THE PROJECT TEAM – END USERS

Cluster lead end user

John Schauble

Office of the Fire Services Commissioner, Vic

Project end users

Samantha Ward, Attorney-General's Department, C'th

Clare Speechley, Department of Premier and Cabinet, SA

Ed Pikusa, Fire and Emergency Services Commission, SA

Chris Irvine, State Emergency Service, Tas

Don Cranwell, Metropolitan Fire Service, SA

Patrick Schell, Rural Fire Service, NSW

PROBLEM STATEMENT

- New public policy positions for bushfire and flood risk rely on best practice scientific evidence, however scientific studies are fragmented, highly specialised, constantly evolving, and span diverse disciplinary approaches.
- Further, scientific evidence is used in relation to other sources of knowledge – professional expertise, local knowledge, community memory, law, politics and so on – and thus is subject to competing interpretations, public mistrust and dismissal.

FOR EXAMPLE

- Building standards
- Flood maps
- Flood gauges and warning messages

OBJECTIVES

Across the PPRR spectrum in southeast Australia:

- To investigate the diversity and uncertainty of bushfire and flood science, and its contribution to risk mitigation policy and planning;
- 2) To explore how diverse individuals use and understand scientific evidence and other knowledges in their bushfire and flood risk mitigation roles; and,
- 3) To analyse how this interaction produces particular kinds of opportunities and challenges in the policy, practice, law and governance of bushfire and flood risk mitigation.

METHODOLOGY

Qualitative social science research

METHODS

Scenario exercises

Theoretical tools

Analysis of law, policy and inquiry documents

MAJOR OUTCOMES EXPECTED

A deeper understanding of recent challenging issues at the science-policy-practice interface, so as to:

- Support industry to better articulate and defend decisions to the community, media, inquiries and others
- 2) Support industry to better frame information and advice for how both scientists and professionals communicate

THANK YOU

Dr Jessica Weir

T: (02) 9685 9533

E: j.weir@uws.edu.au