

Probability and consequence of water contamination from post-fire debris flow

Petter Nyman¹, Peter Yeates², Philip Noske¹, Gary Sheridan¹ Patrick Lane and Shane Haydon³

¹School of Ecosystem and Forest Science, The University of Melbourne, ²Hydronumerics, ³Melbourne Water Corporation

August 2019

Debris flows in SE Australia - regional context

• SE Australia was subject extraordinary hydroclimatic conditions (drought and flooding) between 2000 and 2015

MELBOURN

- Drought (2000-2009) and La Nina floods (2010-2012)
 - Both unprecedented in historical records in terms of extent and severity
- Several periods of widespread debris flow activity in forest catchments





• Periods of debris flow activity linked to regional hydroclimate (Nyman et al, 2019)



Debris flow linked to wildfire and large rainfall events: A Regional hydroclimatic controls evident in ENSO cycles and soil moisture:





























Motivating questions:

- What are the likely consequences for water supply?
 - How do these vary with fire severity?
- How is risk distributed spatially in water supply catchments?
 - What are the costs-and benefits of mitigation?





Debris flows begin on the hillslope





- **1.** Develop and evaluate a model of debris flow rainfall thresholds.
- 2. Apply the model to Upper Yarra Catchment with two contrasting fire scenarios.
- **3.** Calculate the consequence to treatability of water using model of reservoir hydrodynamics.











Debris flow thresholds – model description













Debris flow model (Langhans et al, 2016):

- Predict probability and magnitude of debris flows
- Capture variability in thresholds caused by recovery and spatial variation in soil hydraulic properties
- Attribute sediment loads to sources with different grain size distributions



- Debris flow thresholds determined in zeroorder headwaters
- Debris flow load determined at the outlet of first-order drainages (Nyman et al 2015)





Debris flow thresholds – model evaluation

- Model does pretty good job of predicting debris flows
- 2 false negatives (20%), 1 false positive (~1%)



Kilmore-Murrundindi fire (2600 km²: 440 debris flows)

- Debris flow observed in 7% of first-order headwater:
- Expected debris flow response from model = 4%



Annual exceedance probability (AEP) [-]

Upper Yarra case study – debris flow thresholds

- Two fire severity scenarios based on 2009 Black Saturday Wildfires
 - High severity during peak fire activity (before 10pm)
 - Low severity for subsequent days (after 10pm)
- Distribution applied randomly to zero-order headwaters in the catchment



2009 Wildfire at 10pm 2009 Wildfire dNBR [-] 1477

Rainfall thresholds









Wet uplands

Dry Foothills



Exceedance probability of clay inputs to the reservoir after a wildfire









Upper Yarra case study – the consequence

~UY treatment threshold







10 km

Upper Yarra case study – the consequence

We can predict the number of days that sediment concentration at Upper Yarra water offtake exceed treatment thresholds



Upper Yarra case study – the consequence

What does this mean in terms of cost?





- Modelling approach provides a means for translating threat (geomorphic response) to potential cost.
- After high severity wildfire there is a **25-50% chance** of water supply **interruption lasting several months to a year**.
- The threat from post-fire erosion and debris flow is increasing.
 - Stronger and more frequent La Nina and increase frequency of extreme fire weather means more fire.
 - **15% increase in hourly rainfall intensities** per every degree of warming.



Thank you





- Reduce exposure to high severity wildfire. How?
 - Strategic fire breaks (a large part of MW fire management strategy)
 - First attack response many successful first-attacks in summer 2019
 - Fuel management to promote low severity and patchy fires
 - Not much leverage to reduce the extent of wildfire with fuel reduction. But what about fire severity?



- Increase preparedness for a post-fire response
 - Understand the threat (magnitude, spatial distribution, etc in different fire scenarios)
 - Facilitate rapid response following a fire
 - Map out the relevant legislation, logistics and processes for inter-agency response.
 - Understand constrains and opportunities for erosion/sediment control.
 - Can existing infrastructure provide opportunities?
 - Effectiveness of hillslope and channel structure in reducing erosion and trapping clay?



Existing infrastructure – Swingler Weir





Mitigation – opportunities?









Mitigation - the Thomson Fire case study



Risk mitigation – evaluating effectiveness of erosion control

THE UNIVERSITY OF





Mitigation - the Thomson Fire case study

