Fuels3D and the assessment of bark hazard

Luke Wallace*, Karin Reinke, Samuel Hillman, Bryan Hally, Simon Jones







Overview

- Fuels3D project aims, proof-of-concept and ongoing work
- Bark fuel and its role in wildfire
- Method
- Results thus far
- Outcomes and outlook



Fuels 3D Project

- Challenge: Data collection technologies and methods for repeatable and quantitative measurement of fuel hazard
- Opportunity: Investigate emerging terrestrial and aerial remote sensing technologies
- Solutions: (i) Sampling techniques and technologies for repeatable and low-cost capture of the fuel environment (ii) Point cloud data analytics to derive fuel layers and quantitative hazard metrics



DATA CAPTURE AND POINT CLOUD CREATION

Fuels 3D Solution Overview



- In-field image collection method
- Image matching and scale

Determining Precision and Repeatability



MDPI

Article Investigating Surface and Near-Surface Bushfire Fuel Attributes: A Comparison between Visual Assessments and Image-Based Point Clouds

Christine Spits ^{1,*}, Luke Wallace ^{1,2} and Karin Reinke ^{1,2}

- ¹ School of Science, RMIT University, Melbourne 3001, Australia;
- luke.wallace2@rmit.edu.au (L.W.); karin.reinke@rmit.edu.au (K.R.)
- ² Bushfire and Natural Hazards Cooperative Research Centre, East Melbourne 3002, Australia

* Correspondence: christine.spits@gmail.com; Tel.: +61-422-234-688

Academic Editor: Jason K. Levy Received: 30 January 2017; Accepted: 17 April 2017; Published: 20 April 2017











Determining Accuracy in a Point Cloud







Determining Fuel Hazard Metric Accuracy

What aspects of the fuel structure and complex are accurately captured?







ORTHOIMAGE

VEGETATION HEIGHT

CLASSIFICATION

Fuels3D Current Work and Approaches

How do we sample a fuel environment effectively with different technologies?

How to best extract different fuel layers from a 3D point cloud?

Which point cloud technologies best describe certain fuel features? And in which environments?

What other elements beyond surface and nearsurface fuels can be captured using the Fuels3D approach?

• Exploring bark hazard





Bark Fuel Hazard

- Key attribute of fuel hazard assessment
- Major contributor of firebrands
- Different type of barks in Eucalypt forests
- Current visual method of assessment:
 - Selection of type of tree
 - Quantity of combustible bark on trunk
 - How bark is attached (Stringybarks)



Study Area

- Silvan Reservoir, Victoria.
- Mixed species forest (predominantly Stringybarks)
- 33 Trees of varying species were surveyed
- DBH and bark hazard assessed at each tree



Low Cost Camera-Based Assessment

Passive remote sensing

Structure from Motion (SfM) workflow

Same features observed in multiple images from different viewpoints to build 3D point cloud

Used in a number of environmental applications







Field Data / Image Capture

- 3 images (photographs) captured per tree
- Field of view centred on the centre or midpoint of the stem at breast height





Images to Point Cloud

Images were processed in Agisoft photoscan using high quality settings

Scale was add by automatically detecting a control target

Processing took 20 seconds per image set



Point Cloud Normalisation

To estimate bark properties the point cloud was normalised to the trees stem. This was achieved by:

- 1. Firstly a simplified stem model (DBH and stem axis) was created by fitting circles to small slices of the point cloud
- 2. A new cooridinate system was then defined as x: distance around the stem, y: height above ground and z: distance from stem axis effectively rolling out the bark
- 3. Finally a local minima filter is applied and the bark is flattened





Stem Fitting Accuracy

Difference in field and estimated DBH used as a measure of accuracy of stem fit

R2 = 0.9 and RMSE = 6.1 cm

Measures of bark width will be added as further source of validation





Point Cloud to Raster

A 2mm resolution raster image was then created with the following bands:

- Red, Green, Blue
- 95th Percentile Bark Height



Bark Description

Descriptors were calculated from the flattened bark representations (both point cloud and raster) Texture was calculated both along bark and across bark at 3 scales (1 cm SD, 5 cm SDand 9cm SD)

Point Cloud Space



Bark Volume Per Unit Area Points per square cm Number of intercepts

Raster Space



HSV Colour Based

Mean and Std Value Across bark texture (mean and std) Along bark texture (mean and std) Texture difference abs(along – across)



Bark Width Based

Mean Width Std Width Across bark texture (mean and std) Along bark texture (mean and std) Texture difference abs(along – across)

Bark Texture Method



ACROSS STEM





Stringybark Hazard



Stringybark Hazard

Bark Width Colour 85 0.02 80 0.018 75 0.016 70 0.014 Std Value ²² ²⁹ Std Width 0.01 60 • Extreme • Very High 0.008 50 👝 High 0.006 45 Moderate 40 0.004 35 0.002 40 50 60 70 80 30 0.002 0.004 0.006 0.008 0.01 0.012 0.014 0.016 0.018 Mean Value Mean Width

x: Mean Value y: Std Deviation Value



Stringybark Hazard

Mean Width Texture Diff (1cm scale)

Bark Width Texture Colour Texture $5 r^{\times 10^{-3}}$ 32 Г . 30 4.5 Extreme 4 • Very High 👝 High • . 3 Moderate . 2.5 1.5 16 1 14 0.5 -16 -12 -10 -18 -14 -8 -6 -2 -4 -1 -0.8 -0.6 -0.4 -0.2

Mean Value Texture Diff (1cm scale)

С

 $\times 10^{-3}$



Conclusions - Towards quantified bark hazard

New rapid approach to assess bark hazard that is non-destructive and provides quantified metrics

Metrics were developed here to classify bark into species and hazard however further opportunities exist.

Continuous metrics that could allow more quantifiable information of bark hazard to be captured in-field.

To achieve this further validation data is required and input from fire behaviour modelers on variables to focus on.



Thank You

Contact info: Luke: <u>luke.wallace2@rmit.edu.au</u>



@ProfCoffeeRMIT
@lukeowallace



